I. CALL TO ORDER – 10:05
   Michael Graham, Chairperson
   Chairperson Graham

II. ROLL CALL

   CPRB MEMBERS
   ATTENDANCE
   Michael Graham, Chair
   Ashley Mostella, Vice Chair
   Michael Hess
   Ernest Turner
   Mary Clark
   Ken Mountcastle
   Roz Quarto – excused absence
   David Gatian – excused absence
   Gerri Butler, Staff Counsel
   LeeAnn Hanlon, Secretary

   OPS STAFF
   ATTENDANCE
   Roger Smith, Administrator
   George Coulter, General Manager
   Henry Roney, Senior Investigator
   Shayla Davis, Community Engagement
   Art Bowker, Investigator
   Kevin Wynne, Investigator
   Keith Oliver, Investigator
   Eric Richardson, Investigator
   Julie Delaney, Investigator
   Toni Shanks, OPS Secretary

III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

IV. PUBLIC COMMENT

V. PRESENTATION OF INVESTIGATIONS
   Administrator Smith

VI. ANNOUNCEMENTS AND COMMUNICATIONS

VII. OPS REPORT
   Administrator Smith

   A. REVIEW OF DIRECORT DISCIPLINARY DECISIONS

VIII. POLICY UPDATES

IX. COMMITTEE REPORTS

X. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

XI. NEW BUSINESS

XII. ADJOURNMENT

CIVILIAN POLICE REVIEW BOARD
OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS
January 14, 2020
MEETING MINUTES

Next Meeting Date: Tuesday, January 14, 2020 at 10:00 am
III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Mr. Turner made a motion to approve the minutes. Motion seconded by Mr. Mountcastle and the motion to approve the minutes passed.

IV. PUBLIC COMMENT – Mr. Graham reminded everyone of the three (3) minute time limit.

Dave Lima, SURJ –
I’ve commented previously about the components of an effective police oversight system (Independence, Authority and Funding) that is both respected by the police department and trusted by the community. Previously I commented very briefly on a measure that would enhance the authority of the Board and its effectiveness, the authority to audit policies and practices of the Cleveland Department of Police. Today I would like to expand on this topic.

The authority of OPS and the Board should not be limited to investigating individual allegations of police misconduct. It should also have the ability to review the underlying policies that may play a part in the misconduct. An individual officer’s misconduct must also take into account the policies, practices, and culture of the department. Exposure of problems bigger than one individual act of misconduct could result in the prevention of future wrongdoing.

The authority to investigate and make recommendations should be broad and take into account civil rights and public safety concerns. When certain patterns of behaviors resulting in complaints are noticed, or appear to increase, the auditing function should be initiated to determine whether departmental policies or unwritten practices are responsible.

Once the auditing has been completed, OPS and the Board should have the authority to make its recommendations to the Chief, Safety Director, City Council and the Mayor, along with a report to the public.

The addition of this function may require changes in the policies and practices of each. Seattle’s ordinance relating to civilian and community oversight of the police provides for such authority. The ordinance provision for the auditing function states that the Office of Police Accountability shall have the authority to identify systemic problems, in the Seattle Police Department policies, training, supervision, and management identified in the course of OPA’s investigation of possible misconduct. Not only does it provide for the auditing function, but it also mandates the exercise of independent judgment and requires that responsibilities be carried out without interference from any person, group or organization, including the Chief, other Seattle Police Department employees, or other City officials and City employees.
V. PRESENTATION OF INVESTIGATIONS

a. 19-030: Anderson

Det. Hayduk #349

Allegation: Lack of Service
Recommendation: Unfounded
Motion: Graham
Second: Hess
Motion passes with one opposed

b. 19-039: Blair. Complainant was present and stated that the officers got components of her traffic accident report wrong. Complainant also stated she did not understand why the officers did not interview the passengers in her vehicle, or allow the other involved party to leave the scene.

P.O. Lombardi #1130

Allegation: Lack of Service
Recommendation: Sustained
Motion: Graham
Second: Mostella
Motion passes

P.O. Latson #2309

Allegation: Lack of Service
Recommendation: Sustained
Motion: Graham
Second: Mountcastle
Motion passes
c. 19-074: Edwards

P.O. Morley #1108

Allegation: Lack of Service  
Recommendation: Exonerated  
Motion: Graham  
Second: Mountcastle  
Motion passes

Allegation: Failure to Provide Name/Badge  
Recommendation: Unfounded  
Motion: Graham  
Second: Mountcastle  
Motion passes

P.O. Fioritto #993

Allegation: Lack of Service  
Recommendation: Exonerated  
Motion: Graham  
Second: Mountcastle  
Motion passes

Allegation: Failure to Provide Name/Badge  
Recommendation: Unfounded  
Motion: Graham  
Second: Turner  
Motion passes

d. 19-081: Williams

Sgt. Ilain #9239

Allegation: Damaged Property  
Recommendation: Exonerated  
Motion: Graham  
Second: Clark  
Motion passes
Sgt. Stone #9253

Allegation: Damaged Property
Recommendation: Exonerated
Motion: Graham
Second: Mountcastle
*Motion passes*

**e. 19-187: Wilson**

Det. Yasenchack #2362

Allegation: Improper Stop
Recommendation: Insufficient Evidence
Motion: Graham
Second: Mountcastle
*Motion passes*

Allegation: Improper Citation
Recommendation: Insufficient Evidence
Motion: Graham
Second: Mountcastle
*Motion passes*

Allegation: Biased Policing
Recommendation: Insufficient Evidence
Motion: Graham
Second: Clark
*Motion passes*

Allegation: Improper Search
Recommendation: **tabled until February 11, 2020 meeting due to voting deadlock**
f. **19-190: Anonymous**

P.O. Santa #1358

Allegation: Unprofessional Conduct
Recommendation: Exonerated
Motion: Graham
Second: Mountcastle
*Motion passes*

---

g. **19-193: Walker**

Dispatcher Wells #114

Allegation: Unprofessional Conduct
Recommendation: Sustained
Motion: Graham
Second: Clark
*Motion passes with one abstention*

---

**VII (A). REVIEW OF DIRECTOR DECISIONS**

**18-102**  
CPRB disagreed with the Director’s dismissal and voted for a resolution.

---

**XII. ADJOURNMENT**

Meeting adjourned at 13:01 hours.